## [2]

## APPENDIX No. 2

## WAR DEPARTMENT, ARMY PEARL HARBOR BOARD

CROSS-REFERENCE INDEX TO TESTIMONY BEFORE ARMY PEARL HARBOR BOARD

This Cross-Reference Index has the following features:

1. Titles and sub-titles are listed alphabetically.

2. Main titles are shown in full capital type; sub-titles are preceded by a hyphen and are in regular type; secondary sub-titles are preceded by two hyphens; a few sub-titles are preceded by a triple hyphen; e. g.,

MARSHALL

-Communications with Short
--Message 7 December
---Washington Signal Office handling

3. The references to the record are by name of witness and page number, thus STIMSON 4072, means the testimony of Secretary of

War Stimson on page 4072 of the transcript of the testimony.

4. The Index proper is paged with arabic numerals. The cross-reference key to this Index is paged with Roman numerals, and appears on pages ii thru xix. The cross-reference key is designed to give the arabic page numbers of the Index on which the titles and subtitles will be found.

5. Related subject matter is frequently found listed under two or more titles or sub-titles. For example, references concerning aircraft available in Hawaii on 7 December 1941, will be found under the

following headings:

ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION
Planes available, daily reports p. 23

-Planes available, daily reports p. 23 ATTACK ON PEARL HARBOR

-Only 3 U. S. fliers got in air p. 26

HAWAHAN DEFENSE

-Army planes available p. 31 HAWAHAN DEFENSE

-Navy fighter planes available p. 33

RECONNAISSANCE -By Army

-Insufficient planes p. 67

[ii] RECONNAISSANCE

-By Army

-Planes available p. 68

RECONNAISSANCE

-Distant by Navy p. 68 --Navy planes available p. 70

6. In using the Index, the following steps are suggested:

(a) Select all relevant main titles on page iv which could possibly cover the subject sought; for example, take the subject of the 27 November message from the War Department to General Short. Relevant main titles, found in the list on page iv, are:

ALERTS IN HAWAII ALERT NO. 1 ARMY-NAVY COOPERATION ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION MARSHALL NAVY RECONNAISSANCE SHORT

(b) Select all relevant sub-titles on pages v through wix; e. g., in the example suggested in (a) above, if it is desired to find out all matters bearing on the 27 November message and its results, each of the main titles listed will be found to have several relevant sub-titles, like

ALERTS IN HAWAII

-Laxity alleged, late November p. 11 -No Army-Navy cooperation on p. 12

ALERT NO. 1

-Decision by Short p. 13 -Differences from Alerts 2 and 3 p. 14

-Not a mistake p. 14

-Planes ready in 4 hours, under p. 15

ARMY-NAVY COOPERATION

-Conference 27 November Martin, Short, Kimmel and Mollison

ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION
-"War Warning" Message, 27 November p. 23

MARSHALL

-Communications with Short p. 61 --Message, WD, 27 November p. 62 -Reply to 27 November Message p. 62

RECONNAISSANCE -By Army p. 67

-Ordered by War Department p. 68 -Not discussed by Short and Kimmel 27 November conference p. 71

[iii] SHORT

-Message, WD, 27 November p. 79

(c) Turn to the appropriate arabic numbered page or pages of the Index, given after each relevant title and sub-title. Then refer to the Record page number or numbers listed under the main titles and sub-titles of this cross-reference Index for the testimony thereon. Page 90 at the end of this volume contains a TABLE FOR FIND-ING VOLUMES FROM PAGE REFERENCES.

[iv]

LIST OF MAIN TITLES

AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE ALERTS IN HAWAII ALERT NO. 1 ARMY MISSION IN HAWAII ARMY-NAVY AGREEMENTS ARMY-NAVY COOPERATION ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ATTACK ON PEARL HARBOR CANOL PROJECT G-2 KNOWLEDGE OF JAPAN HAWAIIAN DEFENSE HAWAIIAN DEFENSE PROJECTS HOFFMAN, ROBERT JAPAN JAPANESE IN HAWAII KIMMEL KUHN, OTTO

MARSHALL, GENERAL GEORGE C. MARTIAL LAW NAVY NAVY MISSION IN HAWAII ORANGE PLAN RAINBOW PLAN RECONNAISSANCE ROHL, HANS WILHELM SHORT TENNEY COMMITTEE, CALIFORNIA STATE LEGISLATURE WAR COUNCIL WYMAN, COL. THEODORE

v

## CROSS-REFERENCE KEY

```
AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE (See also HAWAIIAN DEFENSE PROJ-
  ECTS: WYMAN, COL. THEODORE; and related titles.)
    -An Army function p. 1
    -Attempt to expedite by Short p. 1
         -Contra p. 1
    -Considered most important project p. 1
         -Contra p. 1
    -Construction by District Engineer p. 1
    -Continuous operation not reported to Navy p. 1
    -Contract, Wyman arranged p. 1
-Degree completed 7 December p. 1
-Delays, causes of p. 2
         -Arrival of equipment p. 2
        --Diversion of equipment p. 2
--Not by Washington p. 2
             ---Contra p. 2
         -Not contractors p. 3
         -Not lack of personnel p. 3
         --Contra p. 3.
--Personnel difficulties p. 3
    -Difficulties of permanent erection and location p. 3
-Effort to get functioning p. 3
-Equipment present, except cables p. 3
    -Fixed, equipment delivered October 1941 p. 4
    -Funds allotted p. 4
-Haleakala Job Order 41.0 p. 4
    -Higher command, not AWS-minded p. 4
    -Highest priority p. 4
        -Contra p. 4
    -Information Center p. 4
        -In general p. 4
             -- Communication with stations, not good p. 4
        -Development of p. 4

-Functioning p. 4

-Naval liaison requested p. 5
    -Interceptor Command p. 5
        -- Activated 17 December p. 5
              -Contra p. 5
        --Air Force cooperation p. 5
        -Jealousy, Signal and Air Corps p. 5
   -Navy should know from Lt. Burr p. 5
-"No definite organization" p. 5
-Job Order for Mt. Kaala Road p. 5
   -Job Orders, summary p. 5
    -Job Order 23.1 p. 5
   -Kokee Job Orders 23.0, 23.1 p. 5
   -Missing equipment p. 6
   -Mobile equipment, all arrived (Jul 41) p. 6
      79716-46-Ex. 145, vol. 5-12
```

```
AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE—Continued
    -Mobile stations used as soon as received p. 6
        -Contra p. 6
    -Navy assistance, Commander Taylor p. 6
    -Navy assisted
                       p. 6
    --Contra p. 6
-Navy liaison officer, Lt. Burr. p. 6
    -Navy notified of delay after 5 August p. 6
    -No trained control officers or operators p. 6–7
-No training scheduled, 7 December p. 7
    -Not dependent on height p. 7
         -Contra p. 7
    -Not increased after 27 November p. 7
    -Number of stations, changed p. 7
    -Only on Oahu p. 7
    -Only real Army reconnaissance p. 7
-Operating 7 December p. 7
--Detected planes p. 8
             ---Disputed p. 8
        -Lt. Tyler on duty during attack p. 8
         -- Merely training p. 8
         --Purpose, anti-sabotage p. 8
    -Operation before 7 December p. 8
         --Contra p. 8
    -Operation, in general p. 9
    -QM received for shipment in July p. 9
    -Range, effective p. 9
        --200 miles, permanent stations p. 9
--75 miles, for mobile stations p. 9
        --130 miles p. 9
        -150-200 miles p. 9
-120-140 miles p. 9
    Refer to letter, S/N to S/W, 24 January p. 9
    -Report, 24 November p. 9
    -Signal Corps, technical responsibility p. 9-10
    -Stations contemplated p. 10
    -Tactical plan p. 10
-Twenty-four hour use not required by situation p. 10
    --Contra p. 10
-Use ordered by Short p. 10
         -4 to 7 A. M. p. 10
    -WD interest in p. 10
-Wyman not responsible for material p. 10
ALERTS IN HAWAII (See also ALERT NO. 1 and HAWAIIAN DEFENSE
  -SOP, 5 November and WAR DEPARTMENT.)
    -In general p. 11
    -Alarmed public
                      p. 11
        -Contra p. 11
    -Ammunition issued p. 11
    -By Army, no effect on Navy p. 11
    -Laxity, alleged, late November p. 11
    -Morale, affected p. 11
         --Contra p. 11
    -Never ordered by WD p. 11
         --Contra p. 12
    -No Army-Navy cooperation on p. 12

--Contra, when Navy "lost" Jap ships p. 12
    -Number of p. 12
ALERT NO. 1 (See also HAWAIIAN DEFENSE -SOP 5 November:
  JAPANESE IN HAWAII; JAPAN-Caution not to provoke; and related
    -In general p. 13
    -Considered satisfactory p. 13
    -Decision by Short p. 13
        -Navy not consulted p. 13
        -Plan to "slide" to No. 3 p. 13
```

-Reasons for p. 14

```
ALERT NO. 1-Continued
    -Differences from alerts 2 and 3 p. 14
    -Not a mistake p. 14

--Contra p. 15

-Planes ready in 4 hours, under p. 15
    -"Sabotage-minded" p. 15-16
    -War Department never objected p. 16
    -WPD not informed of p. 16
ARMY MISSION IN HAWAII (See also NAVY MISSION IN HAWAII;
  HAWAIIAN DEFENSE; RECONNAISSANCE; and related titles.)
    -Air fields defense p. 17
    -CG, free from WD control p. 17
    -WPD not concerned with p. 17
ARMY-NAVY AGREEMENTS (See also ARMY-NAVY COOPERATION; ARMY-
  NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION; and related titles.)
    -Joint Air Operations Agreement, 21 March p. 18
         -No call for Army help 7 December p. 18
         -Never fully complied with p. 18
    -Joint Coastal Frontier Defense Plan p. 18
         -Washington consent needed to put in effect p. 18
--Contra p. 18
ARMY-NAVY COOPERATION (See also Army-NAVY AGREEMENTS; ARMY-
  NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION; and related titles.)
    -In general p. 19
-Antiaircraft defense not perfectly coordinated p. 19
    -Army-Navy maneuvers p. 19
    -Before Short p. 19
    -Conferences between Short and Kimmel p. 19
    -Conference 27 November-Kingman, Martin, Mollison, Bloch p. 19
    -Conference 27 November-Martin, Short, Kimmel, Mollison p. 20
    -Confidence in Navy p. 20
         --Not justified p. 20
    -Defense projects, liaison p. 20
-Directed by letter, WD, 7 February p. 20
-Joint headquarters p. 20
    -Joint headquarters construction p. 20
    Navy confidence in Army p. 20
    [viii]
             -Navy jealous p. 20
    -Navy never checked on p. 20
    -No joint staff meetings p. 20
    -Not on alerts p. 20
    -Operations office liaison, complete p. 20
--Contra p. 20
--Very good p. 20
--Contra p. 20
ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION
  (See also ARMY-NAVY AGREEMENTS; ARMY-NAVY COOPERATION; and
related titles.)
    -In general p. 21
    -Air Force knowledge of Jap concentrations p. 21
    -Army, full disclosure to Navy p. 21
        -Navy liaison officers p. 21
    -Army measures after 27 November p. 21
-"Complete reciprocity" p. 21
    -Contra p. 21
-Confidence in the Navy p. 21
    -FBI p. 21
    -Fleet activities 27 November-7 December p. 21-22
    -C-2-ONI p. 22
         -A-2-ONI p. 22
          (See also NAVY-Naval Intelligence-Activities limited.)
    -Information re Jap ships p. 22
    -Navy, aircraft identification course p. 22
    -Navy information failed to reach field commanders p. 22
    -Navy, little confidence in Army p. 22
-Navy message 24 November not given Short p. 22
```

```
ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION—Continued
     -Navy notified AWS delay p. 22
     -Navy not specific re reconnaissance p. 23
     -Navy, 3 December message re burning codes p. 23
        (See also under NAVY.)
           -5 December message, G-2 p. 23
     -No information to Short re task force in Marshall Islands p. 23
     -Planes available, daily reports p. 23
     -Procedure p. 23
          -- None established
                                   p. 23
     -Re Interceptor Command p. 23
-"War Warning" message, 27 November p. 23–24
ATTACK ON PEARL HARBOR
   (See also JAPAN-Attack a surprise; HAWAIIAN DEFENSE; JAPAN-At-
tacking force origin; and related titles.)
     -In general p. 25
     -Action by ground troops, harbor troops, and civilian elements p. 25
     -Arrival of new B-17's p. 25
     -Description by Bloch p. 25
     -Description by Capron p. 25

-Description by Cooper p. 25

[ix] -Description by Farthing p. 25
     Description by Klatt p. 25
Description by Kogan p. 25
Description by Mollison p. 25
Description by Phillips p. 25
     Description by Short p. 26

Description by Walker p. 26

Description by Welch p. 26

Description by Wilson p. 26

Description of Jap planes by Martin p. 26
     -Enemy planes shot down p. 26
     -Enemy planes, number used, 160–180
-Only 3 U. S. fliers got in air p. 26
     -Possibility of damaging Jap carriers p. 26
     -Possibility of stopping planes p. 26
     -Psychological blunder p. 27
     -Result, if planes dispersed p. 27
     -Result same if during 1940 alert p. 27
     -Salvage operations p. 27
-Statistics on repair work after 7 December p. 27
     -Sundays best for p. 27
     -W. D. and N. D. knew precise hour or attack p. 27
CANOL PROJECT (See also WYMAN, COL. THEODORE.)
     -Wyman, administration improper p. 28
     -Wyman, treatment of personnel p. 28
     -Wyman's wife as employee p. 28
G-2 KNOWLEDGE OF JAPAN
(See also JAPAN; ATTACK ON PEARL HARBOR; ARMY-NAVY EX-
CHANGE OF INFORMATION; and related titles.)
     -In general p. 29
     -Aircraft carriers about 1 December p. 29
     -Aircraft carriers on 27 October p. 29
    -Attack force composition p. 29 (See also JAPAN—Attacking force origin.) -Channelled through Chief of Staff p. 29
    -Coordinated with ONI p. 29
    -Dissemination procedure p. 29
-Embassy chief source p. 29
    -Interpretations supplied p. 29
     -Jaluit task force, no notice to HD p. 29
    -Jap papers burned, 5 December p. 29
         -Reported in staff meeting p. 29
          -Short didn't know p. 29
    -Limited p. 29
    -Limited personnel p. 29
-Mandated Island knowledge p. 29
```

-No situation estimate received p. 29

```
CHANGE OF INFORMATION; and related titles-Continued
    -Personnel untrained p. 29
    -Supplied to H. D. p. 29
HAWAIIAN COASTAL FRONTIER DEFENSE PLAN (See ARMY-
  NAVY AGREEMENTS.)
HAWAIIAN DEFENSE (See also AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE; ATTACK
  ON PEARL HARBOR: JAPAN; SHORT; MARSHALL; and related titles.)
    -Air Corps, infantry training p. 30
    -Aircraft, B-17, total U.S. p. 30
    -Air defense plan, 20 August p. 30
-Sent to WD, 20 August p. 30
    -Antiaircraft p. 30
    -Would have been ineffective p. 30
-Ammunition supply, 7 December p. 31
-Army planes available p. 31
-Cannibalism p. 31
         -Hawaii second priority p. 31
    -Changes by Short p. 31
    -CPX February 1941 proved air attack possible p. 31
    -Correspondence, Arnold and Martin p. 31
    -Delay of equipment p. 31
--Second priority p. 31
    -Difficulty protecting planes p. 32
    -Equipment available p. 32
-Earliest date prepared p. 32
    -Estimate of situation, process p. 32
         --Violated p. 32
    -Field Order No. 1 p. 32
    -G-2 funds available p. 32
    --Use of p. 32
-Good p. 32 See also -Weakness of, infra, this title
    -Greatest danger, Jap population p. 32
    -Hawaii, best prepared U. S. Base p. 33
         -Contra p. 33
         -Fully prepared p. 33
    -Herron, CG, 1937-1941 p. 33
    -International situation, limited knowledge p. 33
    -Known to Japan p. 33
    -Local Joint Planning Committee p. 33
    -M-Day p. 33
    -Navy fighter planes available p. 33
    -Navy strong p. 33
-No air raid shelters before 7 December p. 34
    -No slit trenches dug before 7 December p. 34
    -No unity of command p. 34
         -- Unity essential p. 34
         -Unity of command after 17 December p. 34
    -Pearl Harbor considered torpedo-proof p. 34
    -Personnel shortage p. 34
    -Plan, in case of surprise attack p. 34
    -Plan, in general p. 35
-Report prepared by Bergquist p. 35
    -SOP 5 November p. 35
         -Artillery, alternate missions p. 35
         -Criticised p. 35
         -Navy informed p. 35
         [xi] -Planes alterted p. 35
-Preparation of p. 36
         -Sent to War Department p. 36
             -No record p. 36
    -Staff conferences weekly p. 36
    -Staff officers fully informed p. 36
    -Supervision of War Department p. 36
    -Weakness of p. 36-37 See also -Good, supra, this title
-No preparation for air attack p. 37
    -WD did not supervise H. D. closely
```

-Inspection by Marshall p. 37 -WD "ideas", lack of confidence in p. 37

```
HAWAIIAN DEFENSE PROJECTS (See also AIRCRAFT WARNING SERV-
  ICE; WYMAN, COL, THEODORE; SHORT; and related titles.)
    -In general p. 38
    -Airfields, built without WD approval p. 38
    -Airfield delays p. 38
    -Airfield protection, WD denied p. 38
    -Airfields progress as of 7 December p. 38 (See also Ferrying routes, infra
       this title.)
     -Ammunition storage magazines
    -Assistant requested by Short p. 38
         -Not from Chief of Engineers p. 38
    -Considered unnecessary p. 38
-Construction spurt after 7 December p. 38
    -Contract, District Engineer can abrogate p. 38

-Contract negotiation p. 38–39

-Contract, 20 December 1940 p. 39

-Inefficiency of contractors p. 40
     -"Profit" plus fixed fee p. 40
-Contractors, no complaints against p. 40
          -Contra p. 40
     -Contractors, responsibility for checking p. 40
     -Espionage Act p. 40

-Latter, TAG, 12 December p. 40

-Contractors sent "scrub" team p. 41
     -Contractors sent scrub team p. 41

-Contractors, social contact with Army officers p. 41
     -Delays, reasons for p. 41-42
          -- Fixed-fee contract not cause p. 42
          --Lack of money p. 42
--Not intentional p. 42
               --Contra p. 42
          -- Priorities p. 42
          -Shipping delays p. 42
          ---Contra p. 42
--Weather conditions p. 42
          -Wyman p. 42

] -Deputy Chief of Staff big help p. 43
     -Discusison with allens p. 43
     -District Engineer responsibility p. 43
          -Engineer funds used p. 43
     -Engineers, chain of command p. 43
     -Equipment available in Hawaii p. 43
     -Extensions granted p. 43
     -Ferrying routes p. 43
     -Flat contract basis possible p. 43
     -Hawaiian Contractors p. 44
          -- Difficulties dealing with
          -Not cause of delay p. 44
     -Inspection, none from Washington p. 44
     -Job Order 2.1 p. 44
     -Navy liaison on p. 44
     -Navy projects p. 44
     -Open to all contractors, claimed Wyman p. 44
          -Contra p. 44
     -Progress reports to Short p. 44
     -Progress unsatisfactory p. 45
 -Short, attempt to expedite p. 45
-Underground gasoline tanks p. 45
HOFFMAN, ROBERT (See also WYMAN, COL. THEODORE; HAWAIIAN DE-
   FENSE PROJECTS; and related titles.)
      -Allegations answered p. 46
      -Knowledge of Wyman p. 46
      -Reliability of p. 46
 INFORMATION CENTER (See AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE.
 INTERCEPTOR COMMAND (See AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE.)
```

JAPAN (See also ATTACK ON PEARL HARBOR; G-2 KNOWLEDGE OF JAPAN: and related titles.) -Air force considered good p. 47 -Attack a surprise p. 47 -Contra p. 47 -To Secretary Knox p. 47 -Attacking force origin p. 47-48 (See also G-2 KNOWLEDGE OF JAPAN-Attack force composition.) -Attack, joint U. S.-British-Dutch action in event of p. 48 -Burning papers p. 48 -Staff announcement p. 48 -Caution not to provoke p. 48 -Commission of first overt act by p. 48 -Crisis with p. 49 -Known to Short p. 49 -Newspapers p. 49-50 -Jaluit Task Force p. 50 -Japanese submarine in harbor p. 50 -G-2 alert for disloyalty p. 50 -Mandated Islands closed to U.S. p. 50-51 -Mandated Islands photographic mission, November 1941 p. 51 -Messages intercepted p. 51 -Phone call, Dr. Mori to Tokyo p. 51 --Short informed of p. 51 -Naval concentrations p. 51 -Navy strength p. 51 -No declaration of war expected p. 51-52 -Contra p. 52 -Not considered strong enough for attack p. 52 -Probable attack on Russia p. 52 -Probability of attack by p. 52-53-54 --Air attack probable p. 54 --Australian Intelligence report p. 54 -Discussed often p. 54 -Grew memo p. 54 -Nothing done to avoid surprise attack p. 54 --Plan, in case of surprise p. 54 -Predicted by G-2 p. 54 -Predicted by Grew, 27 January 1941 p. 54 -Predicted in Joint Air Operations Agreement p. 55 -Rumors p. 55 -S/N letter, 24 January p. 55 --Copy to Short p. 55 -Submarine danger p. 55 -Surprise invasion impossible p. 55 -Ships ceased use of ports 2 months before p. 55 -Ultimatum 26 November p. 55 -War considered imminent p. 56 -Contra p. 56 JAPANESE IN HAWAII (See also ALERT NO. 1; JAPAN-Caution not to provoke; and related titles.) -Alien registration p. 57 -Espionage p. 57 -None before 7 December p. 57 -G-2, alert for disloyalty p. 57 -Jamming radios, 7 December p. 57 -Loyalty expected p. 57 -Contra p. 58 -"Most probable danger" p. 58 -Not arrogant, merely uneasy p. 58 -Number of p. 58 -Sabotage by, none before 7 December p. 58 -Contra p. 58 -Sabotage by, not expected p. 59

-Contra p. 59

KIMMEL -CINCPAC, 1 February 1941 p. 60 -Duties p. 60 KUHN, Otto LOCAL JOINT PLANNING COMMITTEE (See HAWAIIAN DEFENSE.) MARSHALL, General George C. (See also AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE; ALERT NO. 1: JAPAN-Attack a surprise; JAPAN-Probability of Attack; and related titles.) -Authority to sign "Marshall" p. 61 -Away on 27 November p. 61 -Chief of Staff p. 61 -Intials on document p. 61 -Communications with Short p. 61 -All in War Department files p. 61 -Letter 5 March p. 61 -Letter 15 March p. 61 -Letter 14 April p. 61 -Letter 5 May p. 61 -Message 7 December p. 61 --- Washington Signal Office handling p. 61 -Message re Aircraft Warning Service "eyes alone" May 1941 p. 61 -Personal letters p. 61 -Letters, not "specific directives" p. 61 -Letter, 7 February p. 61 -Letter 19 February p. 61 -Letter received 29 May p. 61
-Letter 10 October p. 61
-Letter 14 October p. 61
-Letter 28 October p. 62
-Message, WD, 27 November p. 62 -Participation in p. 62 -Reply to 27 November message p. 62 MARTIAL LAW -Declared 8 December p. 62 NAVY (See also ARMY-NAVY AGREEMENTS; ARMY-NAVY COOPERATION; ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION; KIMMEL; NAVY MISSION IN HAWAII; RECONNAISSANCE; and related titles.) -Agreements with Army p. 63 -Base Defense Air Force p. 63 -CINCPAC not restricted on fleet use p. 63 -Condition No. 1 p. 63 -Daily reports by p. 63 -Defensive deployments, not Navy term p. 63 -Contra, used by Adm. DeLany p. 63 -Joint Coastal Frontier Defense Plan p. 63 -Letter, S/N to S/W, 24 January 1941 (See JAPAN-Probability of attack by.) -Letter, Richardson to S/N, January 25 p. 63 -Letter, 14 October, 2-CL-41 p. 63 -Message 16 October, new Jap cabinet p. 63-64 -Message 27 November p. 64 -Message, ONI, 1 December, Jap carriers p. 64 -Messages, 3, 4, and 6 December p. 64 (See also under ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION.) -Messages to CINCPAC p. 64 -Naval Intelligence p. 64 (See also ARMY-NAVY EXCHANGE OF INFOR-MATION-G-2-ONI.) -Activities limited p. 64 -Naval plane program, 1940 p. 64 -Navy message 24 November p. 65 -Navy message 6 December, re Jap papers p. 65 NAVY MISSION IN HAWAII -Hawaiian Defense SOP p. 66 -Kimmel and Bloch, relations between p. 66

RAINBOW PLAN (See also HAWAIIAN DEFENSE-SOP 5 November.)

ORANGE PLAN-Obsolete

RECONNAISSANCE (See also AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE; ALERTS IN HAWAII: ALERT NO. 1: HAWAIIAN DEFENSE; NAVY; SHORT; and related titles.) -Army never asked Navy p. 67 -By Army p. 67 --Incidental to training p. 67 -- Insignificant p. 67 -- Insufficient planes p. 67 --Contra p. 67
--Not ordered by Short p. 67 (See also SHORT—Failed to order reconnaissance 27 Nov.) [xvi] -Ordered by War Department p. 67 -Planes available p. 67 -Sunday, day off p. 67 -By Army, where Navy failed, duty of Short p. 68 -Contra p. 68 -Distant by Army, GHQ AC, duty p. 68
-Distant by Navy p. 68-69
-Complaints by Martin p. 69
-G-3 never asked Navy p. 69
-Lack of confidence in p. 69
-Navy never requested Army planes for p. 69
-Navy patrols p. 69
-Patrols best reconnaissance p. 69
-Reconnaissance merely incidental p. 69
-Navy planes available p. 70
Insufficient p. 70
-None, 7 December p. 70
-Not cover vs. air raids p. 70
-Route used unknown p. 70
-Short not informed as to p. 70
-Contra p. 70 -Distant by Army, GHQ AC, duty p. 68 -Short not informed as to p. 70

-Contra p. 70

-Short's reliance on p. 70

-Contra p. 70

-Sunday, day off p. 71

-Task forces, all Short knew about p. 71 -Distant considered unnecessary p. 71 -Joint Air Operations Agreement p. 71 -Letter, Herron to Marshall, 15 October 1940, re Navy p. 71 -None 7 December p. 71 -Not discussed by Short and Kimmel 27 November conference p. 71 -Not well coordinated p. 71 -Recommended by WPD p. 71 ROHL, HANS WILHELM See also WYMAN, COL. THEODORE -Did not delay defense work p. 72 --Contra p. 72 -False rumor of death p. 72 -Furnished equipment for Canol Project p. 72 -Hawaiian Contractors, dominant figure in p. 72 -Investigation of p. 72 -Knew nothing about Aircraft Warning Service p. 72 -Plack, Werner, friendship with p. 72

-Reliability of p. 72-73 -Alleged drinking p. 73 -Questionable p. 73 -Rohl and Wyman p. 73 -Rohl citizenship p. 73-75

SHORT (See also AIRCRAFT WARNING SERVICE; ARMY-NAVY AGREEMENTS, COOPERATION, and EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION; ATTACK ON PEARL HARBOR; HAWAIIAN DEFENSE; HAWAIIAN DEFENSE PROJECTS; JAPAN; KIMMEL; MARSHALL; and related titles and sub-titles.) -Caution not to alarm public p. 76 -Contra p. 76 -Prior maneuvers did not alarm p. 76 -Chief of Staff, Col. Phillips, criticized p. 76 -Communications with Marshall -Conferences with subordinates -Considered guilty p. 77 -Considered O. K. p. 77 -Criticized (See also ALERT NO. 1 -Not a mistake -Contra.) -Differences with Kimmel p. 77 -Question of joint command p. 77 --Contra p. 77 -District engineer not under p. 77 -Falled to order reconnaissance, 27 Nov. p. 78 (See also RECONNAIS-SANCE-By Army -Not ordered by Short.) -Failure to revise defense plan p. 78 -Herron fully informed, 5-7 February p. 78 -Knew of Wyman-Lyman feud p. 78 -Letter from Arnold about air routes p. 78 -Letter, 15 March 1941, air attack vulnerability p. 78 -Letter to War Department, 10 June p. 78 -Letter to Kimmel, 5 August re Aircraft Warning Service p. 78 -Letter, civilians, to President, 22 December, complimenting Short p. 78 -Letter from Governor, 23 December, complimenting work p. 78 -Messages, WD changed military situation p. 78 -Message, no WD, that war inevitable p. 78 -Ultimatum, 26 November -Message to AG, 10 June p. 78 -Message, TAG, 7 July p. 78 -Messages from WD, none from Jul – 16 October p. 79 -Message, WD, 16 October p. 79 -Message, WD to, No. 266, 18 October p. 79 -- Same message, 20 October p. 79 -Message, WD, 27 November p. 79-80 (See also MARSHALL -Communications with Short --- Reply to 27 November message.) -Bloch not informed of p. 80 -Interpretation p. 80 -Miles objections to Arnold about p. 80 -Staff sections notified of p. 80 -Message, Navy 27 November p. 80 --Informed of p. 80 -Message, G-2, WD, to G-2, HD, 27 November p. 80 -Message, TAG, No. 482, 28 November p. 81 -- Considered reply to 27 November message p. 81 -Reply to p. 81 -Messages, from WD, none after 28 November p. 81 -Message, 6 December, from Intelligence Officer p. 81 -Message, WD, 7 December p. 81 (See also MARSHALL -Communications with Short --Message, WD 7 December.)
 -Not surprised Japan failed to declare war. p. 81 (See also JAPAN -No declaration of war expected.) -Read newspapers re Japan and U. S. p. 82 (See also JAPAN -Crisis with -Newspapers.) -Scrambler phone p. 82 -Staff conferences weekly p. 82 -Took command 7 Feb. p. 82 -At Marshall's request p. 82 -Use of ROTC after 7 Dec. p. 82 -War Department assigned Hawaiian Department personnel p. 82

-Worked with Wyman, directly p. 82

TENNEY COMMITTEE, California State Legislature WAR COUNCIL

YMAN, COL. THEODORE (See also CANOL PROJECT: HAWAIIAN DEFENSE PROJECTS; ROHL, HANS WILHELM; AIRCRAFT WARN-WYMAN, COL.

ING SERVICE; and related titles.)
-AW 104 used on p. 84 -Col. Lyman, relieved p. 84 -Complaints to, about delays p. 84 -Complaints, none against p. 84 -Contra p. 84

-Contracting officer p. 84

-Decorated with DSM p. 84 -Defense projects open to other contractors. p. 85 (See also HAWAIIAN DEFENSE PROJECTS-Open to all contractors.)

-Difficulties with McKee p. 85 -Drunkenness, alleged p. 85

--Contra p. 85 -Drunk 7 December --Contra p. 85 -Hard Worker p. 86

-Hoffman, Robert, knowledge of Wyman p. 86

[xix] -IG Investigation p. 86 -Investigation by Col. Horowitz p. 86 -Letter, 1 March 1943, by McKee p. 86 -Reliability of p. 86 -Contra p. 87

-Reliance on Rohl's judgment p. 87 -Responsibility to prod contractors p. 87 -Short knew of Wyman-Lyman feud p. 87

-Treatment of personnel p. 87 -Worked directly with Short p. 87 -Wyman and Rohl, social relations p. 87-88 -- Equipment purchase p. 89

-Wyman-Rohl contracts p. 89 -Yacht "Vega" p. 89